



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,856	11/21/2000	James E. Hanson	YOR-2000-0426US1	8479

7590 02/11/2004

Harry F. Smith, Esq.
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2462

EXAMINER

PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Applicant(s) HANSON, JAMES E.
	Art Unit 2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Application Number 09/717, 856 was filed on 11/21/2000. Claims 1-26 are subject to examination.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7, 10,11,16-18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolston (US 6,085,176) and in view of "Official Notice".

Referring to claim 1,

The reference teaches the consignment node as being a computer database (a current directory service agent) (col.2, lines 29-31). It also teaches that the agent mode allows the user to initiate the search its local database (previously constructed list of directory service agents) to find the agents search request for matching consignment nodes (matching software agents), responds with the request (adding any new matching software agents to a list of matching software agents) and terminates the session. (col.14, lines 55-60). The reference also teaches to query another consignment node(s) (another directory service agent as current directory service agent) and repeat the step of querying and adding. (col.7, lines 18-23). The reference explicitly fails to teach to repeat the steps of querying consignment node(s) (directory service agent) and adding search results or terminating the search as being done "selectively". "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing to repeat the steps of querying consignment node(s) (directory service agent) and adding search results or terminating the search as being done "selectively" is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Woolston to perform these steps selectively such that the search is carried out to find specific consignment node(s) (directory service agent) related to a specific class of goods since a local consignment node user may charge participants for agent requests as taught by the reference.

Referring to claim 2,

The reference teaches The reference teaches the consignment node as being a computer database (a current directory service agent) (col.2, lines 29-31). It also teaches that the agent mode allows the user to initiate the search its local database (current directory service agent) to find the agents search request for a list of other consignment nodes (directory service gents)((col.7, lines 18-23), responds with the request (adding any new directory service agents to a list of other directory service agents) and terminates the session (col.14, lines 55-60). The reference also teaches to query another consignment node(s) (another directory service agent as current directory service agent) and repeat the step of querying and adding. (col.7, lines 18-23). The reference explicitly fails to teach to repeat the steps of querying consignment node(s) (directory service agent) and adding search results or terminating the search as being done "selectively". "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing to repeat the steps of querying consignment node(s) (directory service agent) and adding search results or terminating the search as being done "selectively" is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Woolston to perform these steps selectively such that the search is carried out to find specific consignment node(s) (directory service agent) related to a specific class of goods since a local consignment node user may charge participants for agent requests as taught by the reference.

Referring to claims 3, 4 and 5,

The reference teaches to initiate the search procedure and queries local consignment node database (a current directory service agent) for a list of other matching consignment nodes (software agents) that match at least one search criteria (col.7, lines 20) and respond back with search results. The reference also teaches to generate an agent communication message to each consignment node on the list and begins to establish communications to the other consignment nodes (query the local consignment node database (a current directory service agent) for a list of other directory service agents). (col7, lines 20-23). The reference also teaches that if the local consignment node database (current directory service agent) is not up to date, the agent message between consignment nodes begins coordinating or reconciling the database on each consignment node of the locations and/or address of other consignment node. (adding any new directory service agents to a list of directory service agents). (col.7, lines 23-31). The reference teaches searching the reconciled local consignment node database (current directory service agent)(col.7, lines 32-37) and an ability of agent handler to terminate the search request (col.14, lines 55-60). The reference explicitly fails to teach to repeat the steps of querying consignment node(s) (directory service agent) and adding search results or terminating the search as being done "selectively" as well as with any "determination" conditions whether to query or not to query the consignment node (current directory service agent). "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing to repeat the steps of querying consignment node(s) (directory service agent) and adding search results or terminating the search as being done "selectively" as well as with any "determination" conditions whether to query or not

Art Unit: 2154

to query the consignment node is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Woolston to perform these steps "selectively" as well as by adding the search "determining" conditions such that the search is carried out to find specific consignment node(s) (directory service agent) related to a specific class of goods since a local consignment node user may charge participants for agent requests as taught by the reference.

Referring to claims 6 and 7,

With keeping in mind the teachings of the reference as stated above, the reference also teaches of a certain consignment nodes to become a dominant market maker for a particular class of goods (col.2, lines 44-49). The reference also teaches once the agents has provided search results back to the agent originating consignment node (current directory service agent), the search results can give the total number of matching items found and thus, an indication of the depth of the market. The reference clearly teaches that the search will be charged according to the agent requests. (col.7, lines 49-57). Thus, although the reference teaches the charges can incur if the user wishes to locate a certain good in a dominant market maker, user has to consider the cost associated with it as well as the number of consignment nodes (current directory service agents) to be searched, the reference explicitly fails to teach to consider on information concerning the current directory service agent, on resources so far expended on the search, on available resources, characteristics of the entries in the list of matching software agents and on a number of directory service agents that have

been queried when determining to query the consignment nodes (current directory service agents). "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing to consider on information concerning the current directory service agent, on resources so far expended on the search, on available resources, characteristics of the entries in the list of matching software agents and on a number of directory service agents that have been queried when determining to query the consignment nodes is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Woolston to include the claimed considerations such that cost associated with the considerations indicated above can increase the purchase price of the specific goods. The cost associated with search considerations cannot be more than a certain percentage of the cost of the goods pertaining to certain class.

Referring to claims 10 and 11,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Woolston, the reference teaches that the consignment node (directory service agent) can search its own database for the request and/or generate agents to search and report the search-findings.(col.3, lines 29-32). The reference also teaches that the initialization occurs for the different operations in the consignment node (directory service agent) to receive the information.(col.10, lines 11-12). The reference also teaches that the user can invoke a consignment agent to search the network of consignment nodes when the searcher can provide the search parameter. However, the reference fails to teach to initiate the search procedure as claimed for directory service agent (consignment node) or software agent as being

Art Unit: 2154

directory service agent (matching consignment nodes). "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing for initialization of the list of directory service agents with default information, clearing the list of matching software agents, and selecting the current directory agent from the initialized list of directory service agents or to include initialization of the list of directory service agents and the list matching software agents with information maintained by the directory service agent, and selecting the current directory agent from the initialized list of directory service agents is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Woolston to include initialization of the list of directory service agents with default information, clearing the list of matching software agents, and selecting the current directory agent from the initialized list of directory service agents or to include initialization of the list of directory service agents and the list matching software agents with information maintained by the directory service agent, and selecting the current directory agent from the initialized list of directory service agents such that the user can choose whether he or she would like to have the readily available list of the matching software or start the new search over again by clearing the list of matching software and with default information. This choice is presented to the user because the user can be charged for agent requests that are created by the search as taught by the reference.

Referring to claim 16,

Art Unit: 2154

Claim 16 is a claim to a data communication system comprising a communications network that couples together processor nodes of claim 3. Therefore, claim 16 is rejected for the reasons set forth in above paragraph for claims 3,4 and 5.

Referring to claims 17 and 18,

Claims 17 and 18 are claims to a system of claims 6 and 7. Therefore, Claims 17 and 18 are rejected for the reasons set forth in above paragraph for claims 6 and 7.

Referring to claims 21 and 22,

Claims 21 and 22 are claims to a system of claims 10 and 11. Therefore, Claims 21 and 22 are rejected for the reasons set forth in above paragraph for claims 10 and 11.

5. Claims 8,9 12-15, 19, 20, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolston (US 6,085,176) and "Official Notice" in view of Blevins (US 6,418,463).

Referring to claims 8 and 9,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Woolston, the reference fails to teach attempting to negotiate acceptable terms with the consignment nodes (current directory service agents). The reference Blevins teaches a contract based mobile software agent (MSA) for use between a user and host computer to permit a defined, secure and accountable access for the host computer by a user. (Abstract). The reference also teaches that the agent message code of MSA is capable of offering initial terms and negotiation on behalf of the user. (col.3, lines 23-27). The MSA is also configured to re-negotiate or re-present terms when previous terms are rejected.(col.4, lines 44-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2154

to modify Woolston by adding the MSA of Blevins such that when the query to the consignment nodes (current directory service agents) current directory services are made for the list of other software agents or the list of other directory service agents, the considerations with associated costs can be negotiated since the charges are associated with the agent requests as taught by Woolston.

Referring to claims 12,13,14 and 15,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Woolston, the reference teaches that the consignment agent can charge the user for agent requests. (col.7, lines 55-56), thereby it is inferred that that involves the cost of carrying the local consignment node database(list of directory service agent). Also, the reference teaches that the update of the lists (databases) can be done if desired (col.7, lines 26-34). However, the reference fails to teach attempting to negotiate acceptable terms with the consignment nodes (current directory service agents). The reference Blevins teaches a contract based mobile software agent (MSA) for use between a user and host computer to permit a defined, secure and accountable access for the host computer by a user. (Abstract). The reference also teaches that the agent message code of MSA is capable of offering initial terms and negotiation on behalf of the user. (col.3, lines 23-27). The MSA is also configured to re-negotiate or re-present terms when previous terms are rejected.(col.4, lines 44-46). The reference also teaches that The ADAM program residing on the host (first and second directory service agents) is capable of rejecting or accepting a contract offer (col.4, lines 22-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one in ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Woolston by adding the Blevin's

MSA's capabilities such that the first directory service agent can contact the second directory service agent, or by just adding the Blevin's capability to Woolston such that any of the directory service agents with Blevins MSA can acts as an intermediary agent (third directory service agent) contacting the second directory service agent for listing the first directory service agent, may that be a simple request or a solicitation and may that result in an acceptance or refusal. The acceptance or refusal to list the directory service agent depends on the business decision that the listing directory service agent will have to make based on the cost to carry the listing and, what a directory service agent charge to the users as taught by Woolston.

Referring to claims 19 and 20,

Claims 19 and 20 are claims to a system of claims 8 and 9. Therefore, Claims 19 and 20 are rejected for the reasons set forth in above paragraph for claims 8 and 9.

Referring to claims 23, 24, 25 and 26,

Claims 23, 24, 25 and 26 are claims to a system of claims 12, 13, 14 and 15. Therefore, Claims 23, 24, 25 and 26 are rejected for the reasons set forth in above paragraph for claims 12, 13, 14 and 15.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305-2655. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2154

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Abp



JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100